

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/828,347	04	/21/2004	Masaaki Suzuki	00684.001674.6	6698		
5514	7590	12/15/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER		
FITZPATR 30 ROCKER		LA HARPER & S AZA	MARKOFF, A	MARKOFF, ALEXANDER			
NEW YORK				ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER			
				1746			

DATE MAILED: 12/15/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	2)					
Office Action Summary	10/828,347	SUZUKI, MASAAKI	14					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit						
T. MANUNO DE CONTRACTOR DE CON	Alexander Markoff	1746						
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence addres	ss					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed /s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this commu	nication.					
Status								
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 Ap	oril 2004							
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This action is non-final.								
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is								
closed in accordance with the practice under E.	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.	1113 13					
Disposition of Claims								
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>17-67</u> is/are pending in the application								
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6) Claim(s) 17-67 is/are rejected.								
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner								
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>21 April 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	miner. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-19	12 1(d). 52					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign p	oriority under 25 LLC C 5 440(a)	(d) (D						
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	monty under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(a) or (t).						
,—	have been received							
 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 08/013,314. 								
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
·	,							
Attachment(s)								
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Dat	PTO-413)						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal Pa							
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>4/21/04.</u> U.S. Patent and Trademark Office	6)	·						
	on Summary P	art of Paper No./Mail Date 1	21104					

Art Unit: 1746

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claims 17-67 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-24 of U.S. Patent No. 6,217,665. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the scope of the claims of the patent are inside of the scope of the patent of the instant application except for the claims of the instant application requiring application of a air knife for drying and high-pressure showering for washing. However, the use of high-pressure showering and air knife was conventional in the art. It would have been obvious to an ordinary artisan to use high pressure showering as a washing step in the method of claims of the patent in order to enhance the cleaning, with reasonable expectation of success, especially in view of the fact that the claims of the patent recite non-specified showering. It would have been obvious to an ordinary artisan to use air knife for drying the substrates in the method of claims of

Art Unit: 1746

the patent in order to have the substrates dry. Thereby, the claims of the instant application are not patentably distinct.

3. Claims 17-67 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-14 of U.S. Patent No. 6,391,117. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the scope of the claims of the patent are inside of the scope of the patent of the instant application except for the claims of the instant application requiring application of a air knife for drying and high-pressure showering for washing. However, the use of high-pressure showering and air knife was conventional in the art. It would have been obvious to an ordinary artisan to use high pressure showering as a washing step in the method of claims of the patent in order to enhance the cleaning, with reasonable expectation of success, especially in view of the fact that the claims of the patent recite non-specified showering. It would have been obvious to an ordinary artisan to use air knife for drying the substrates in the method of claims of the patent in order to have the substrates dry. Thereby, the claims of the instant application are not patentably distinct.

Conclusion

- 4. The prior art considered during prosecution of the parent applications was considered.
- 5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alexander Markoff whose telephone number is 571-272-1304. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

Application/Control Number: 10/828,347

Art Unit: 1746

Page 4

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Barr can be reached on 571-272-1414. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Alexander Markoff Primary Examiner Art Unit 1746

AM

ALEXANDER MARKOFF PRIMARY EXAMINER